1 2 3	BOUTIN JONES INC. Robert D. Swanson SBN162816 Daniel S. Stouder SBN 226753 555 Capitol Mall, Suite 1500 Sacramento, CA 95814-4603	FILED/ENDORSED
4	(916) 321-4444	NOV 1 5 2012
5	Attorneys for Defendants The California State Grange, ohn Luvaas, Gerald Chernoff, and Damian Parr.  By L. GUTIERREZ	
6		Deputy Clerk
7		
8		
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO	
10		
11		
12	THE NATIONAL GRANGE OF THE	) Case No.: 34-2012-00130439
13	ORDER OF PATRONS OF HUSBANDRY, a Washington, D.C., non-profit corporation,	) )
14		)
15	Plaintiff, vs.	THE CALIFORNIA STATE GRANGE'S CROSS-COMPLAINT  ) ) ) ) )
16	THE CALIFORNIA STATE GRANGE, a	
17	California nonprofit corporation, and ROBERT McFARLAND, JOHN LUVAAS, GERALD CHERNOFF and DAMIAN PARR,	
	, '	
19	Defendants.	) )
20	THE CALIFORNIA STATE GRANGE, a California non-profit,	) ) ) ) ) Date Action Filed: 10/01/12 ) ) )
22	Cross-complainant,	
23	vs	
24	THE NATIONAL GRANGE OF THE	
25	ORDER OF PATRONS OF HUSBANDRY, Washington D.C., non-	
26	ofit corporation; and Edward L. Luttrell, ) individual, and ROES 1 through 10, )	
27	Inclusive.	)
28	Cross-defendants.	<i>)</i> )
	1	
	THE CALIFORNIA STATE GRANGE'S CROSS-COMPLAINT	

- 1. Cross-complainant The California State Grange ("California Grange") is a California non-profit mutual benefit corporation with its principal place of business in Sacramento County.
- 2. Cross-defendant The National Grange of the Order of Patrons of Husbandry ("National Grange") is a Washington D.C. non-profit corporation.
- 3. Cross-defendant Edward L. Luttrell ("Luttrell") is an individual and an officer of National Grange. In all relevant respects, Luttrell personally participated in and directed the tortious conduct alleged herein on behalf of National Grange.
- 4. California Grange does not know the true names or capacities of cross-defendants sued as ROES 1-10. On information and belief, each fictitiously named cross-defendant is legally responsible for the acts, transactions, and obligations herein alleged, or is otherwise interested in or affected by the relief herein sought. California Grange will amend this cross-complaint to allege these fictitiously named cross-defendants' true names and capacities when ascertained.
- 5. On information and belief, at all times herein mentioned, cross-defendants, and each of them, were acting on their own behalf and as the agents, employees, representatives, partners, joint venturers, co-conspirators, and/or servants of each of the other cross-defendants, and the acts hereinafter described were done within the course and scope of such agency, employment, or conspiracy.
- 6. California Grange has been in existence since 1873 and is now and has been for over 60 years a California corporation. California Grange is comprised of subordinate/local Granges ("Subordinate Granges") and Pomona/county Granges ("Pomona Granges"). There are approximately 208 total Subordinate and Pomona Granges in California. The Subordinate Granges number approximately 180. The Subordinate Granges and Pomona Granges rely on California Grange for their non-profit tax status.
- 7. Subordinate Granges pay dues to California Grange. The dues are paid annually, on a quarterly basis according to California Grange bylaws, to which all Subordinate Granges have agreed to abide. Pomona Granges are not required to pay dues. California Grange's operations are in large part dependent on the revenue it receives from the payment of dues by the Subordinate Granges.

3

11

12

8

9

15

16

۱7

18

19

20

21

23

24

22

25 26

27 28 California Grange has, over the years, paid a portion of the dues it collects from the Subordinate Granges to National Grange.

- 8. Commencing in September of 2012, National Grange began interfering with California Grange's lawful collection of dues from its Subordinate Granges. National Grange demanded that the Subordinate Granges pay the entirety of their dues directly to National Grange and not to California Grange. National Grange, including through its President, Luttrell, has made these demands under threat of suspending the Subordinate Grange's charters if they fail to comply. Some Subordinate Granges have complied with National Grange's demands and have paid dues to National Grange, or have withheld dues, which were and are owed to California Grange.
- 9. In addition, National Grange, at the direction of its President, Luttrell, has undertaken a campaign of misinformation to discredit California Grange and its lawfully elected board of directors and officers. California Grange has maintained a website [http://www.californiagrange.org/] to advertise The California State Grange organization and to communicate with its members. In September of 2012, National Grange established a website which it created for the purposes of deceiving the members of California Grange, including the Subordinate and Pomona Granges ("Counterfeit Website"). The Counterfeit Website purports to be the official website of The California State Grange. The Counterfeit Website can be accessed by anyone via the internet using the following address: <a href="http://www.grange.org/californiastate/">http://www.grange.org/californiastate/</a>. The Counterfeit Website can also be accessed through a member portal found on National Grange's website: www.nationalgrange.org. Content from the Counterfeit Website is also routinely emailed and mailed to Subordinate and Pomona Grange members at the direction of National Grange and its officer, Luttrell. Among other things, the Counterfeit Website erroneously states that California Grange currently has no authority to act on behalf of the Subordinate and Pomona Granges.
- 10. National Grange has undertaken the above actions with the intention of causing division among the ranks of the Subordinate and Pomona Granges. On information and belief, National Grange is trying to affect a takeover of California Grange. Among other things, National Grange has purported to suspend California Grange and the duly elected Master of California Grange, Bob McFarland. In addition, National Grange has instructed Pomona and Subordinate Granges to

stay away from California Grange's annual meeting and to disregard the authority of California Grange's lawfully elected officers and directors. National Grange also prevented California Grange and its members from participating at National Grange's annual meeting. Through the acts referenced above, National Grange, an out-of-state corporation, persists in efforts to take over the corporate affairs and seize the property of California Grange, an autonomous, duly functioning California Corporation.

11. National Grange has erroneously claimed that its governing documents empower National Grange to act for and on behalf of California Grange upon the suspension of California Grange's Charter. That is false. Notwithstanding, National Grange, including through its officer, Luttrell, has perpetuated this excuse through the Counterfeit Website, through letters and emails to the Subordinate and Pomona Granges and their members, and through telephone calls and in-person conversations with Subordinate and Pomona Grange members, all in an effort to assert control over the Subordinate and Pomona Granges and to convert monies which lawfully belong to California Grange.

## **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

(Conversion against National Grange)

- 12. California Grange incorporates here by reference each of the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 11.
- 13. At all times herein mentioned, California Grange was, and still is, the owner and was, and still is, entitled to possession of any dues which National Grange received directly from the Subordinate Granges.
- 14. The dues in question which National Grange has already converted have a value which is subject to proof at trial, which amount is, on information and belief, continuing to accrue so long as National Grange continues its efforts to abscond with dues to which it is not entitled.
- 15. Beginning on or about October 1, 2012, National Grange constructively took the property described above from California Grange's possession and converted the same to its own use. California Grange hereby demands that National Grange return the property in question.

//

- 16. As a proximate result of National Grange's conversion, California Grange has suffered damages in an amount to be proven, including for fair compensation for the time and money properly expended in pursuit of the property.
- 17. National Grange's acts alleged above were willful, wanton, malicious, and oppressive, were undertaken with the intent to defraud, and justify the awarding of exemplary and punitive damages.
- 18. Furthermore, National Grange should be enjoined from requesting, demanding, intimidating, or otherwise coercing the Subordinate Granges into paying dues directly to National Grange instead of to California Grange. California Grange is the lawful owner of the dues in question. And, National Grange owes California Grange a duty to avoid further harm. By converting the subject dues to its own use, National Grange is putting severe financial pressure on California Grange and increases the likelihood that California Grange will not be able to meet its own financial obligations. California Grange also will be unable to pay dues otherwise owing to National Grange if it does not, first, receive the subject dues owed by the Subordinate Granges.
- 19. No adequate remedy exists at law for the injuries suffered by California Grange herein, insofar as further harm will result to California Grange from National Grange's wrongful acts of conversion absent injunctive relief. If this court does not grant injunctive relief of the type and for the purpose specified herein, California Grange will suffer irreparable injury.

#### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Tortious Interference with Contract against National Grange and Luttrell)

- 20. California Grange incorporates here by reference each of the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 19.
- 21. At all relevant times, National Grange and Luttrell were aware of the contracts (bylaws) and other agreements that existed between California Grange and the Subordinate Granges which included, among other things, the requirement for Subordinate Granges to pay dues to California Grange.
- 22. National Grange and Luttrell engaged in intentional conduct designed to disrupt the contractual relationships between California Grange and the Subordinate Granges, and California

Grange's contractual relationships with the Subordinate Granges were disrupted. Some Subordinate Granges have paid dues which were owed to California Grange instead to National Grange, to the detriment of California Grange.

- 23. As a result of National Grange's and Luttrell's conduct, California Grange was harmed and suffered losses in an amount according to proof at trial.
- 24. National Grange and Luttrell have acted with malice, fraud, and oppression, and California Grange is entitled to recover damages for the sake of example and by way of punishing Defendants.
- 25. Unless restrained by this Court, National Grange and Luttrell will continue to engage in intentional conduct designed to disrupt California Grange's contractual relationships with the Subordinate Granges and will continue to disrupt California Grange's contracts with the Subordinate Granges, causing California Grange irreparable injury as set forth above and threatening a multiplicity of judicial proceedings. California Grange has no adequate remedies at law.

## THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Intentional Interference with Prospective Economic Advantage against National Grange and Luttrell)

- 26. California Grange incorporates here by reference each of the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 25.
- 27. In October of 2012, California Grange and the Subordinate Granges were in an economic relationship that probably would have resulted in economic benefit to California Grange, namely through the payment of dues for the foreseeable future as had been the case for many years.
- 28. National Grange and Luttrell knew of California Grange's economic relationships and intended to disrupt them.
- 29. National Grange and Luttrell engaged in wrongful conduct by intentionally and fraudulently coercing the payment of dues from the Subordinate Granges to the National Grange, instead of to California Grange, or by actually converting the same, and thereby disrupted California Grange's economic relationships. In addition, this wrongful conduct has caused some members of the Subordinate and Pomona Granges to leave the Grange and it has prevented and/or deterred future

paragraphs 1 through 37.

39. By its conduct, National Grange obtained the benefit or use of dues payments from the Subordinate Granges which belonged to and should have been paid to California Grange, and has been unjustly enriched at the expense of California Grange.

#### **SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

(Declaratory Relief against National Grange and Luttrell)

- 40. California Grange incorporates here by reference each of the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 39.
- 41. As a result of the circumstances described above, an actual controversy has arisen and now exists between California Grange, on the one hand, and National Grange and Luttrell, on the other hand, concerning the right to receive the payment of dues from the Subordinate Granges and the right to manage and control the affairs of California Grange pending its purported suspension at the hands of National Grange. California Grange contends that the Subordinate Granges should pay all dues to California Grange instead of directly to National Grange. California Grange has in the past and will continue in the future to pay National Grange its proper share of dues so long as it is not prevented from doing so by National Grange. California Grange also contends that it, and it alone, as a California corporation, may manage and govern the affairs, financial and otherwise, of California Grange. This includes the sole right to maintain a website which has the name, look, and appearance of, as well as the approval and authorization from, The California State Grange. Finally, California Grange contends that National Grange and Luttrell may not interfere with the relationship between California Grange and the Subordinate Granges as alleged above. On information and belief, National Grange and Luttrell dispute these contentions.
- 42. In light of the above, California Grange desires a judicial determination of the respective rights and obligations of the parties to this action as set forth above.
- 43. Such a determination is necessary and appropriate in order to avoid a multiplicity of actions, and to ensure a timely, complete and final adjudication of the rights of all parties potentially affected by this controversy.

24

25

26

27

28 ||

Daniel S. Stouder

The California State Grange

Attorney for Defendant and Cross-Complainant

27

# RECEIVED IN DROP BOX

2012 NOV 15 PH 5: 01

GDS3C COURTHOUSE SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO